



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/812,537	03/19/2001	Vladimir Matena	SUNMP002B	2572
7:	590 09/20/2005	·	EXAMINER	
JOE A. BROCK, II			DELGADO, MICHAEL A	
MARTINE PENILLA & KIM, LLP 710 LAKEWAY DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 170			2144	
SUNNYVALE	, CA 94085		DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

01	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/812,537	MATENA ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael S. A. Delgado	2144			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8 and 10-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 					
13.					
		DAVID WILEY	ien.		
	SUPERVIS	ORY PATENT EXAMIN	AFH		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation "the application specific policies are in a JAVA code form and the application-specific policies are provided to an underlying JAVA platform without altering the JAVA platform" require additional search.